The Pumping Lemma for Well-Nested Multiple Context-Free Languages
Errata & Addenda

Makoto Kanazawa

National Institute of Informatics

December 2, 2009

1. On page 317, in Section 4, the conclusion of the second rule should be

$$\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_n \vdash_G \pi T_1 \ldots T_n : B(t_1, \ldots, t_r)\sigma$$

   and the rule in the second line of page 318 should be changed to

$$B(t_1, \ldots, t_r) :- B_1(x_{1,1}, \ldots, x_{1,r_1}), \ldots, B_n(x_{n,1}, \ldots, x_{n,r_n}).$$

2. On page 324, at the end of Section 6, "one of the seven intervals $[pi+1, p(i+1)]$ consisting of the $(pi+1)$-th through the $p(i+1)$-th symbols" should be replaced by the following:
   > one of the seven intervals $[\max\{1, p(i-1)+1\}, \min\{12p, p(i+1)\}]$ consisting of the $\max\{1, p(i-1)+1\}$-th through the $\min\{12p, p(i+1)\}$-th symbols

3. Using Greibach's (1978a, 1978b) terminology, Seki et al.'s (1991) pumping lemma can be stated as "Every m-MCFL is weakly $2m$-iterative", while the pumping lemma of this paper can be stated as "Every well-nested m-MCFL is $2m$-iterative".

References

Greibach, S.A. 1978a. One way finite visit automata. *Theoretical Computer Science* **6**, 175–221.

Greibach, S.A. 1978b. Hierarchy theorems for two-way finite state transducers. *Acta Informatica* **11**, 89–101.